

**BOARD OF COOPERATIVE EDUCATIONAL SERVICES  
ONTARIO, SENECA, YATES, CAYUGA AND WAYNE COUNTIES**

**NEW YORK**

**LETTER OF COMMUNICATION**

**For Year Ended June 30, 2017**

**Raymond F. Wager, CPA, P.C.**  
**Certified Public Accountants**

**Shareholders:**

**Raymond F. Wager, CPA**  
**Thomas J. Lauffer, CPA**  
**Thomas C. Zuber, CPA**

Members of  
American Institute of  
Certified Public Accountants  
and  
New York State Society of  
Certified Public Accountants

September 29, 2017

To the Board Members  
Board of Cooperative Educational Services  
Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Board of Cooperative Educational Services of Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties for the year ended June 30, 2017, and have issued our report thereon dated September 29, 2017. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

**A. Our Responsibility Under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards and OMB Uniform Guidance**

As stated in our engagement letter dated April 24, 2017, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express an opinion about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Board of Cooperative Educational Services of Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. We also considered internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Uniform Guidance.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Board of Cooperative Educational Services of Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit. Also, in accordance with OMB Uniform Guidance, we examined, on a test basis, evidence about the Board of Cooperative Educational Services of Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Uniform Guidance* applicable to each of its major federal programs for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the Board of Cooperative Educational Services of Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York's compliance with those requirements. While our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion, it does not provide a legal determination on the Board of Cooperative Educational Services of Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York's compliance with those requirements.

**To the Board Members  
Board of Cooperative Educational Services  
Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York**

**B. Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit**

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to management and the Board in our engagement letter dated April 24, 2017.

**C. Significant Audit Findings**

*Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices*

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the Board of Cooperative Educational Services of Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. The new accounting pronouncements which were implemented were GASB Statement 73, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement 57, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68*, GASB Statement 77, *Tax Abatement Disclosures*, GASB Statement 80, *Blending Requirements for Certain Component Units-an Amendment of GASB Statement No. 14* and GASB Statement 82, *Pensions Issues-an amendment of GASB No. 67, No. 68 and No. 73*. We noted no transactions entered into by the governmental unit during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the proper period.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events effecting them may differ significantly from those expected. Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. There were no individually sensitive disclosures affecting the financial statements.

**D. Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit**

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

**E. Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements**

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has been made aware of immaterial misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

**F. Disagreements with Management**

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

**To the Board Members  
Board of Cooperative Educational Services  
Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York**

**G. Management Representations**

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated September 29, 2017.

**H. Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants**

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a “second opinion” on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit’s financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor’s opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

**I. Other Audit Findings or Issues**

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the governmental unit’s auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

**J. Other Matters**

We applied certain limited procedures to the management’s discussion and analysis, schedule of funding progress of postemployment benefit plan, schedule of BOCES’ proportionate share of the net pension liability, schedule of BOCES contributions, and budget comparisons, which are required supplementary information (RSI) that supplements the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were engaged to report on supplementary information accompanying the financial statements (as listed in the table of contents) but are not RSI. With respect to this supplementary information, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

\* \* \*

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board of Education and management of the Board of Cooperative Educational Services of Ontario, Seneca, Yates, Cayuga and Wayne Counties, New York and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



September 29, 2017